



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,345	01/12/2001	Kenji Yamagami	36992.00068	2223
30256	7590	06/02/2006	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
600 HANSEN WAY			ART UNIT	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1043			PAPER NUMBER	
			2153	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/760,345	Applicant(s) YAMAGAMI, KENJI	
	Examiner Yasin M. Barqadle	Art Unit 2153	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 06, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on March 06, 2006 has been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

- Claims 23 and 24 are cancelled.
- Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sicola

Art Unit: 2153

(U.S. Patent Number 6,643,795, hereinafter "Sicola") in view of Lim et al. (U.S. Patent Number, 6526521, hereinafter "Lim"). Sicola shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including:

A first host group: Sicola teaches an apparatus for sending a heartbeat signal in a cluster computing system (abstract, fig. 16), the apparatus comprising:

Fig.2 shows a first host group 218, host 101; a first storage system associated with the first host group (Fig. 2 shows a first storage system 203 associated with the first host group 218 (host 101).

the first host group coupled via a network to a second host group: (Fig.2 shows the first host group 218 coupled via a network to a second host group 219,

The first storage system coupled via a remote link separate from the network to a second storage system associated with the second host group: (Fig.2 shows the first storage system 203 coupled via a remote link 214 separate from the network to a second storage (213) system associated with the second host group (219).

The first host group configured to selectively send a heartbeat signal by use of the remote link by sending a memory command to the first storage system which is mirrored via the remote link using a remote copy mechanism to the second storage system: "PPRC manager 515 is responsible for managing functions including initiating the connection and heartbeat with the remote controller and initiating the remote copy for incoming host writes (via host port initiator 510)" (Sicola, col.9, lines 31-43 and col. 19, lines 20-49, wherein the second host group is configured to use the received heartbeat signal to determine whether a failover should be performed.

However, Sicola does not show a second host group configured to use a received heartbeat signal to determine whether a failover should be performed. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Sicola. In analogous art, Lim discloses data storage pathways that connect a cluster of nodes to a data storage system in a manner that enables a failover operation to occur from a first node to a second node when the first node suffers pathway degradation. Lim shows "each cluster framework portion 28 communicates with other cluster framework portions 28 on other nodes 22

Art Unit: 2153

using intracluster communication signals 74 (e.g., heartbeat signals informing the other cluster framework portions 28 of that cluster framework portion's existence and status... In particular, the cluster framework 28 can detect the loss of a particular resource and signal applications that are dependent on the lost resource in order to trigger certain fault-tolerant operations. For example, if the cluster framework 28 detects the loss of the pathway set 24 for a particular node 22, the cluster framework 28 can perform a failover operation for an application that depended on the lost pathway set 24" (col. 8, lines 28-64. See also col. 17, lines 42-64). Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Sicola so as to use the failover operation system of Lim, in order to manage services (or resources) in the cluster to prevent failures from affecting computer system performance and such that the cluster as a whole will remain operational.

4. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ofek (U.S. Patent Number 6,044,444, hereinafter "Ofek") in view of Sicola et al. (U.S. Patent Number, 6,643,795, hereinafter "Sicola") and further in view of Lim U.S Patent number, 6,526,521. Ofek shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including:

A first host group: Ofek, Fig.4 shows a first host group 212.

A first storage system associated with the first host group: Ofek, Fig.4 shows a first storage system 214 associated with the first host group 212.

The first host group coupled via a network to a second host group: Ofek, Fig.4 shows the first host group 212 coupled via a network to a second host group 252

The first storage system coupled via a remote link separate from the network to a second storage system associated with the second host group: Ofek, Fig.4 shows the first storage system 214 coupled via a remote link 241 separate from the network to a second storage system associated with the second host group.

The first host group configured to selectively send signals by use of the remote link by sending a memory command to the first storage system which is mirrored via the remote link using a remote copy mechanism to the second storage system: "Accordingly, data may be transferred between the primary and secondary data storage system controllers synchronously,

Art Unit: 2153

when a primary host computer requests writing of data to a primary data storage device, or asynchronously with the primary host computer requesting the writing of data to the primary data storage system, in which case the remote data copying or mirroring is completely independent of and transparent to the host computer system.” (Ofek, col. 2, lines 50-57).

However, Ofek does not show sending a heartbeat signal. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Ofek. In analogous art, Sicola discloses controller-based bi-directional remote copy system with storage site failover capability. Sicola shows sending a heartbeat signal: “PPRC manager 515 is responsible for managing functions including initiating the connection and heartbeat with the remote controller and initiating the remote copy for incoming host writes (via host port initiator 510) ” (Sicola, col.9, lines 31-43) Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Ofek so as to use a copy mechanism for the heartbeat, such as taught by Sicola, in order to check if the disks are operational. In addition, device failures are detected immediately and automatic node failover from a primary to a designated alternate node is performed in time.

However, Sicola and Ofek do not show a second host group configured to use a received heartbeat signal to determine whether a failover should be performed. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Sicola and Ofek. In analogous art, Lim discloses data storage pathways that connect a cluster of nodes to a data storage system in a manner that enables a failover operation to occur from a first node to a second node when the first node suffers pathway degradation. Lim shows “each cluster framework portion 28 communicates with other cluster framework portions 28 on other nodes 22 using intracluster communication signals 74 (e.g., heartbeat signals informing the other cluster framework portions 28 of that cluster framework portion's existence and status... In particular, the cluster framework 28 can detect the loss of a particular resource and signal applications that are dependent on the lost resource in order to trigger certain fault-tolerant operations. For example, if the cluster framework 28 detects the loss of the pathway set 24 for a particular node 22, the cluster framework 28 can perform a failover operation for an application that depended on the lost pathway set 24” (col. 8, lines 28-64. See also col. 2, lines 19-29). Given these

Art Unit: 2153

teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Sicola and Ofek so as to use the failover operation system of Lim, in order to manage services (or resources) in the cluster to prevent failures from affecting computer system performance and such that the cluster as a whole will remain operational.

5. Claims 9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter (US Patent Number 6553401) in view of Sicola and further in view of Lim U.S Patent number, 6,526,521 .

In referring to claims 9 and 11, Carter shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including: A production host group; A standby host group coupled to the production host group by a network: Figure 1 shows multiple host groups coupled by a network, elements 112A and 112B

A remote mirror coupled between the production host group and the standby host group: "Another step of the method includes mirroring the shared volume to a second mass storage device of a second subcluster that is located at a second site and that includes at least one server in order to obtain a first mirrored copy of the shared volume at the second site. " Carter, col. 2, lines 22-27)

The remote mirror including a production site heartbeat storage volume (heartbeat PVOQ and a standby site heartbeat storage volume (heartbeat SVOL) coupled by a remote link to the heartbeat PVOL: Figure 1, Storage volumes 108A are connected to Storage volumes 108B are coupled through the network;

The production host group configured to selectively send a heartbeat signal to the standby host group by use of the remote link: "In an exemplary embodiment, the cluster manager determines whether a server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the current subcluster 112A, 112B, ... 112Z is available based upon heartbeat signals transmitted amongst the servers 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the Server cluster 106. " Carter, col. 6, lines 34-39).

The remote mirror being separate from the network: Carter, Fig.1 shows the mirrors 108A, 108B, 108Z are separate from the network 114A, 114B, and 114Z

However, Carter is silent as to how the heartbeat signal is sent. Nonetheless this feature is well

Art Unit: 2153

known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Carter as evidenced by Sicola. In analogous art, Sicola discloses controller-based bi-directional remote copy system with storage site failover capability. Sicola shows: "FW layer 520 is not aware of any PPRC manager 515 context (state change or transfer path). Host port target code 505 allows only host initiators to connect to the controller port which is a dedicated data replication port PPRC manager 515 is responsible for managing functions including initiating the connection and heartbeat with the remote controller and initiating the remote copy for incoming host writes (via host port initiator 510) " (Sicola, col.9, lines 31-43) Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Carter so as to use a copy mechanism for the heartbeat, such as taught by Sicola, in order to check if the disks are operational. In addition, device failures are detected immediately and an automatic node failover from a primary to a designated alternate node is performed in time.

However, Sicola and Carter do not show a standby host group operable to use a received heartbeat signal to determine whether a failover should be performed. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Sicola and Carter. In analogous art, Lim discloses data storage pathways that connect a cluster of nodes to a data storage system in a manner that enables a failover operation to occur from a first node to a second node when the first node suffers pathway degradation. Lim shows "each cluster framework portion 28 communicates with other cluster framework portions 28 on other nodes 22 using intracluster communication signals 74 (e.g., heartbeat signals informing the other cluster framework portions 28 of that cluster framework portion's existence and status... In particular, the cluster framework 28 can detect the loss of a particular resource and signal applications that are dependent on the lost resource in order to trigger certain fault-tolerant operations. For example, if the cluster framework 28 detects the loss of the pathway set 24 for a particular node 22, the cluster framework 28 can perform a failover operation for an application that depended on the lost pathway set 24" (col. 8, lines 28-64. See also col. 2, lines 19-29). Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Sicola and Carter so as to use the failover operation

Art Unit: 2153

system of Lim, in order to manage services (or resources) in the cluster to prevent failures from affecting computer system performance and such that the cluster as a whole will remain operational.

In referring to claim 12, Carter in view of Sicola discloses,

A first heartbeat check module configured to generate the heartbeat signal:

A module configured to generate a heartbeat signal is inherently implied in a system that sends said heartbeat signal.

In referring to claim 13, Carter in view of Sicola discloses,

A second heartbeat check module configured to receive the heartbeat signal: A module configured to receive a heartbeat signal is inherently implied in a system that receives said heartbeat signal

In referring to claim 14, Carter in view of Sicola discloses,

The standby host group manages operations of the cluster computing system if an invalid heartbeat signal is received by the standby host group from the production host group: The standby host group taking over operations if the production host group fails is inherently implied in a fail over system that utilizes a standby host group "Moreover, the computer readable medium includes instructions, which when executed, cause a cluster manager to determine to reallocate the service to a first Server of the second subcluster, allocate the first mirrored copy to the first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster. " Carter, col. 3, lines 8-16).

In referring to claim 15, Carter in view of Sicola discloses,

A serial number assigned to the heartbeat message "a time indicator indicating a time of the generation of the heartbeat message; an identifier identifying a sender of the heartbeat message: Heartbeat messages (synonymous with "keepalive" packets) have an identifier (IP address), the time of generation, and a serial number by definition

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 16, Carter in view of Sicola discloses, * A second remote mirror coupled between the production host group and the standby host group:

"The cluster manager is operable to allocate the service and the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to a first server of the first subcluster, and mirror the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to the at least one volume of the second mass storage device. "

Carter, col. 2, lines 49-53)

Mirroring "at least" one volume inherently implies the volume is an embodiment of the invention. mirroring of more than one

The second remote mirror including a second remote link for transmitting a heartbeat signal: Figure 1, Storage volumes 108A are connected to Storage volumes 108B are coupled though the network

In referring to claim 17, Carter shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including: Generating a heartbeat signal from a production host group; selectively sending the heartbeat signal to the standby host group from the production host group by use of a remote link. Carter, col. 6, lines 34-39 (See full quote above)

Enabling the standby host group to manage operations of the cluster computing system if an invalid heartbeat signal is received by the standby host group from the production host group: "Moreover, the cluster manager is operable to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the at least one volume of the second mass storage device to a first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster. " Carter, col. 2, lines 53-60) However, Carter is silent as to how the heartbeat signal is sent. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Carter as evidenced by Sicola. In analogous art, Sicola discloses controller-based bi-directional remote copy system with storage site failover capability. Sicola shows: "FW layer 520 is not aware of any PPRC manager 515 context (state change or transfer path). Host port target code 505 allows only host initiators to connect to the controller port which is a dedicated data replication port PPRC manager 515 is responsible for managing functions including initiating the connection and heartbeat with the remote controller and

Art Unit: 2153

initiating the remote copy for incoming host writes (via host port initiator 510) " (Sicola, col.9, lines 31-43) Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Carter so as to use a copy mechanism for the heartbeat, such as taught by Sicola, in order to check if the disks are operational. In addition, device failures are detected immediately and an automatic node failover from a primary to a designated alternate node is performed in time.

As to determining, by the standby host group, that a failure has occurred, if an invalid heartbeat signal is received by the standby host group from the production host group, See Lim above. Lim shows "each cluster framework portion 28 communicates with other cluster framework portions 28 on other nodes 22 using intracluster communication signals 74 (e.g., heartbeat signals informing the other cluster framework portions 28 of that cluster framework portion's existence and status... In particular, the cluster framework 28 can detect the loss of a particular resource and signal applications that are dependent on the lost resource in order to trigger certain fault-tolerant operations. For example, if the cluster framework 28 detects the loss of the pathway set 24 for a particular node 22, the cluster framework 28 can perform a failover operation for an application that depended on the lost pathway set 24" (col. 8, lines 28-64. See also col. 2, lines 19-29).

In refining to claim 18, Carter in view of Sicola shows,

Selectively sending a heartbeat signal to the production host group from the standby host group by use of a second remote link: Carter. Col. 6, lines 34-39 (See full quote above)

In referring to claim 19, Carter in view of Sicola shows registering a first storage volume to a device address entry, the first storage volume located in a production site, and, from the production site, changing a remote minor that includes the first storage volume into an enabled mode; sending an activation message from the production site to a standby site; registering a second storage volume to the device address entry, the second storage volume located in the standby site; from the standby site, changing the remote' mirror into an enabled mode to install a remote mirror formed by the first storage volume and second storage volume: "Pursuant to another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a server cluster for providing

high availability of a service. The server cluster includes a first mass storage device located at a first site, a second mass storage device located at a second site, a first subcluster located at the first site, a second subcluster located at the second site, and a cluster manager. The first mass storage device includes at least one volume associated with the service. Similarly, the second mass storage device includes at least one volume associated with the service. The first subcluster includes a plurality of servers operably coupled to the first mass storage device. Moreover, the second subcluster includes at least one server operably coupled to the second mass storage device. The cluster manager is operable to allocate the service and the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to first server of the first subcluster, and mirror the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to the at least one volume of the second mass storage device. "Moreover, the computer readable medium includes instructions, which when executed, cause a cluster manager to determine to reallocate the service to a first Server of the second subcluster, allocate the first mirrored copy to the first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster. " Carter, col. 2, lines 36-60 and col. 3, lines 8-16).

In referring to claim 20, although Carter in view of Sicola shows substantial features of the claimed invention including the method of checking for failure in a cluster computing system, Carter in view of Sicola does not explicitly show de-installing a remote mirror. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Carter in view of Sicola. Carter in view of Sicola discloses: "It should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that mirroring is a continuous process. Accordingly, even though the flowchart of FIG. 2 illustrates mirroring as a distinct step of the exemplary operation the mirror copy of the data resources stored on the second shared storage device 108B at site B is continuously being updated in order to reject the current state of the data resources as stored on the first shared storage device 108A at site A. " Carter, col. 6, lines 4-12) The mirrored copy of the data is continually updated, but Carter is silent as to how a failure of the minor is handled. However, Carter in view of Sicola discloses that when the first subcluster fails or is unavailable, the resources are reallocated to the subcluster with the mirrored volume. Once the mirrored

Art Unit: 2153

volume no longer exists (i.e. it becomes the primary volume), a new mirror is created. Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Carter in view of Sicola so as to de-install the failed mirror, and create a new mirror, as shown by the operation of the primary subcluster.

In referring to claim 21, Carter in view of Sicola shows,

Sending heartbeat messages to the production site host if said production host is enabled', sending heartbeat messages to the standby site host if said standby host is enabled: "W server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z may be unavailable to provide the database service for many reasons such as a hardware failure of the server, a software failure of the server, a power failure of the site at which the server is located, and/or a network failure preventing clients 102A, 102B, 102Z access to the server. In an exemplary embodiment, the cluster manager determines whether a server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the current subcluster 112A, 112B, ... 112Z is available based upon heartbeat signals transmitted amongst the servers 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the server cluster 106. " Carter, col. 6, lines 29-39)

In referring to claim 22, Carter in view of Sicola shows,

Checking for heartbeat messages from the production site host or the standby site host if the network is enabled, if an invalid heartbeat is received along the network and along the remote mirror, enabling the standby host to manage operations of the cluster computing system: "Moreover, the cluster manager is operable to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the at least one volume of the second mass storage device to a first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster. " (Carter, col. 2, lines 53-60) Carter, col. 6, lines 29-39 (See full quote above)

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 571-272-3947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YB

Art Unit 2153


SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER